## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA OAKLAND DIVISION



United States of America, )		Case No. 17 CR 560	SUSAN Y SCIENCE CLERK U.S. DISTRICT COURT OAKI AND CALIFORNIA
u) 1	Plaintiff, )	STIPULATED ORDER EXCLUTIME UNDER THE SPEEDY	
Francis co	Vargas, Tr. ) Defendant. )	. Is	
Trial Act from continuance ou	stated by the parties on the record on 11-22-2017, to 12-11-tweigh the best interest of the public The Court makes this finding and ba	2017, and finds that the ends of and the defendant in a speedy tri	justice served by the al. See 18 U.S.C. §
	Failure to grant a continuance wou See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(I).	ld be likely to result in a miscarri	age of justice.
·	The case is so unusual or so compledefendants, the nature of the prosecor law, that it is unreasonable to exitself within the time limits established.	cution, or the existence of novel of pect adequate preparation for pre	questions of fact strial proceedings or the trial
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Failure to grant a continuance wou taking into account the exercise of		The state of the s
	Failure to grant a continuance wou counsel's other scheduled case com See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv).	mitments, taking into account th	
	Failure to grant a continuance wou necessary for effective preparation. See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv).	, taking into account the exercise	
	For the reasons stated on the record 3161(b) and waived with the consert 5.1(c) and (d).		
30 Section 1	For the reasons stated on the record 3161(h)(1)(E)(F) for delay resulting	5	· ·
IT IS SO ORD	PERED.	LandiA	Wishele
DATED:	00-11-7	Kandis A. Westmore United States Magistrate	Judge

Assistant United States Attorney

STIPULATED:

Attorney for Defendant